

United States Patent and Trademark Office

un

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,226	07/28/2003	Jurgis Astrauskas	1007-0562	4748
7590 03/22/2007 Maginot, Moore & Beck LLP Chase Tower, Suite 3250			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, DZUNG D	
111 Monument Indianapolis, It			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
indianapono, in	1 1020 / 5109		2613	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	03/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Comme	10/628,226	ASTRAUSKAS, JURGIS	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dzung D. Tran	2613	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MORE AND A STATE OF THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ARANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailting date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. 8.133)	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Fe</u>	ehruary 2006		
_	action is non-final.		,
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·		
Disposition of Claims	·		
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		,	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r	•	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		, , ,	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C. & 119(a)	n-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priemy under 60 0.0.0. 3 1 10(a)	(u) 01 (1).	•
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
application from the International Bureau		a m ano matoriar otago	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` '''	d.	
	, p		
Attachment(s)	1		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite	
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application	
	. 0,		

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Peterson et al. U.S. Patent no. 5,933,812.

Regarding claim 7, Peterson discloses in Figure 9, a method/apparatus for bidirectional optical communication with a device external to the probe, the probe comprising:

an optical transmitter 36 for mounting in proximity to an external device, the optical transmitter generates light pulses in accordance with a data signal (page 12, paragraph 0129); and

an optical receiver 37 for mounting in proximity to the external device, the optical receiver including a sensitive phototransistor for generating an electrical data signal

Art Unit: 2613

from a visible light data signal impinging upon the optical receiver (page 12, paragraph 0129).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-6, 8-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meyer et al. U.S. Patent no. 5,933,812 in view of Baker et al. U.S. Patent no. 7,019,492.

Regarding claims 1, 9 and 13, Peterson discloses in Figure 9, an apparatus for bi-directional optical communication with a device external to the probe, the probe comprising:

an optical transmitter 36 for mounting in proximity to an external device, the optical transmitter generates light pulses in accordance with a data signal (page 12, paragraph 0129); and

an optical receiver 37 for mounting in proximity to the external device, the optical receiver including a sensitive phototransistor for generating an electrical data signal from a visible light data signal impinging upon the optical receiver (page 12, paragraph 0129).

Art Unit: 2613

Page 12, paragraph 0129 of Peterson discloses the optical transmitter is a indicator light 36i, however Peterson does not specifically disclose the optical transmitter including a high intensity light emitting diode (LED).

Baker discloses in Figure 2, an optical transmitter 90 including a high intensity light emitting diode (LED) (col. 3, lines 60-67).

At the time of the invention was make, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been obvious to replace the well known high intensity light emitting diode (LED) taught by Baker with the LED D2 in the apparatus of Peterson. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do that in order to illuminate the supper bright light which is more visible than a normal LED.

Regarding claims 2, 11 and 14, whether or not to set the high intensity LED generates light more intense than the light generated by an indicator light of an appliance is merely an engineering design choices. At the time of the invention was make, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do that in order to met the requirement of the apparatus.

Regarding claims 3, 10 and 15, wherein the high intensity LED generates light that is more intense than a standard LED is well known in the art.

Regarding claims 4, 12 and 16, Baker discloses the high intensity LED generates light in the range of 6,000 to 10,000 millicandelas (col. 3, lines 63-67) that is in the range of approximately 8000 millicandelas to approximately 31,000 millicandelas.

Regarding claim 5, Peterson discloses the optical receiver further comprising: a sensitive phototransistor for generating the electrical data signal (see Figure 9).

Art Unit: 2613

Regarding claims 6, 8, 17 and 18, Peterson discloses the sensitive phototransistor 37 that convert a visible light data signal from a light indicator 36. Thus, whether the sensitive phototransistor 37 generates a collector photo current approximately 5 to 15 mA in response to a visible light pulse of 100 lx or the sensitive phototransistor is stimulated to generate current in response to light in the range of 10 to 30 lx is merely an engineering design choices.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dzung D Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-3025. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan, can be reached on (571) 272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2613

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Page 6

Dzung Tran 03/12/2007

DZUNG THAN
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER